

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,852	08/22/2003	Stephen T. Dybing	NEWZEA.029A	1515
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 11/01/200° RTENS OLSON & BE.	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			WONG, LESLIE A	
IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,	·		1794	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
) } t	11/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,852	DYBING, STEPH	DYBING, STEPHEN T.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie Wong	1794			
<i> The</i> Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication app oly	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	nddress		
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair Any reply recommendations.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO IT time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volby within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute believed by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠ Resr	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 A</u>	uaust 2007				
		action is non-final.				
<i>'</i> =	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	f Claims					
4)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>1-13,15-27 and 33-38</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Clair	⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-37</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Clair	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-22,27 and 38</u> is/are rejected.					
7)∐ Clair	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Clair	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application P	apers	•				
9)∐ The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The c	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	cant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Repla	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
11)□ The c	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)∏ All	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
-	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3	Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	eceived in this Nationa	al Stage		
	application from the International Burea	, , , ,				
* See tr	ne attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)		🗖				
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,852

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 33-37 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13, 15-22, 27, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant does not clearly teach what is encompassed by "treating" or "enhancing." The use of these phrases does not clearly identify that which is being claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed August 15, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the specification identifies many embodiments of how proteins may be treated including adjustment of the system pH, temperature, pressure and/or overall ionic strength.

Applicant does not clearly teach how these adjustments take place. It is not clear how the pH, temperature, pressure, and/or ionic strength are adjusted to obtain an enhancement. It is not clear whether treatment involves increasing or decreasing any of

pH, temperature, pressure, and/or ionic strength. Applicant relies on the use of enhancement to clarify the treatment steps but Applicant does not clearly define what is encompassed by enhancement. Applicant introduces general concepts without specific instruction as to the claimed invention. It is not clear how any and all proteins are treated to provide enhancement.

It is suggested that Applicant clearly set forth limits on enhancement, wherein enhancement results in at least one of increased emulsion capacity and increased emulsion stability in comparison to an untreated protein.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,852

Art Unit: 1794

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW October 24, 2007